# Markov models, information theory and why we care about it all

Anton Alekseev, Steklov Mathematical Institute in St Petersburg NRU ITMO,

NRU ITMO, St Petersburg, 2019 anton.m.alexeyev+itmo@gmail.com

# Plan for today: theory and applications

#### 1. Markov chains

- a. Language models
- b. Keywords extraction and other applications

#### 2. Elements of information theory

- a. Information
	- i. Collocations extraction
	- ii. One weird trick to estimate sentiment
- b. Entropy
	- i. Connection between entropy and perplexity

# Markov property

N-gram models we discussed earlier actually are **Markov models** 

**Markov property:** conditional distribution of the next state of a stochastic process depends only on current state

 $\mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = i_{n+1} | X_n = i_n, X_{n-1} = i_{n-1}, \ldots, X_0 = i_0) = \mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = i_{n+1} | X_n = i_n)$ 

The process with discrete time (or a sequence of random events), that has this property is called a **Markov chain**

A simple and a well-studied probabilistic model suitable for many tasks



## Markov chain

The model is entirely set by the stochastic matrix = transitions probabilities matrix

**Example**. Events: vowel (v), consonant (c), white**s**pace/punctuation (s) (probabilities are set at random, consider the estimation an exercises)



DEMO: ugly self-promotion: <http://antonalexeev.hop.ru/markov/index.html>

#### **Markov chains**

 $\triangleright$  So — Markov chain as a process is set by the matrix of transitions probabilities and probabilities of initial states

$$
\pi = (\pmb{p}_1^{(0)}, ..., \pmb{p}_n^{(0)})^T
$$

$$
\mathbf{P}_{trans} = \{ \pmb{p}_{i \to j}, \ \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in 1 : n, \sum_{j=1}^n \pmb{p}_{i \to j} = 1 \forall \mathbf{i} \}
$$

 $\triangleright$  Probability of a trajectory of length one  $x_i$ 

$$
p=p_i
$$

of length two  $x_i \rightarrow x_i$ 

$$
p = p(x_i)p(x_j|x_i) = \pi_i P_{i,j}
$$

of length three  $x_i \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow x_k$ 

$$
p = p(x_i)p(x_j|x_i)p(x_k|x_i,x_j) = p(x_i)p(x_j|x_i)p(x_k|x_j) = \pi_iP_{i,j}P_{j,k}
$$

#### Markov chains

Evident enough, probability of trajectory of length  $n$ is computed like that

$$
p(x_a,...,x_z) = \pi_a \prod_{i=2}^{|\text{steps}|} P_{\text{steps}[i],\text{steps}[i+1]},\text{steps} = (a,...,z)
$$

It is easy to prove that the vector of probabilities of the process to be in certain states at  $m$ -th step can be computed like that

$$
\pi^{(m)} = (\boldsymbol{p}_1^{(m)}, ..., \boldsymbol{p}_n^{(m)}) = \pi \boldsymbol{P}_{tr}^m
$$

#### Markov chains: the limit

One can demonstrate that if  $P_{trans i,j} = p_{i \to j} > 0$ , there exist a single asymptotic distribution

 $\mathbf{\hat{p}} = \lim_{m \to \infty} \pi P_{trans}^{m},$ 

and

$$
\mathbf{\hat{p}} = \mathbf{\hat{p}}P_{trans}, \sum \hat{p}_i = 1
$$

Such distribution is called the **stationary** one.

# Stationary distribution: interpretation

Suppose we are watching random [web] surfer, who moves from state to state **eternally**, making decisions where to glide using the distribution of states in the current row



http://slideplayer.com/slide/8080871/

Then each value in the vector of stationary distribution is **the fraction of total time** spent in the corresponding state

#### Application example №1 (previous lecture)



The 'value' of the web page is defined by

- the 'value' of the pages that refer to it,
- a number of pages those pages refer to (less = better)

Let  $L_{ij} = 1$  if webpage j links to webpage i (written  $j \rightarrow i$ ), and  $L_{ij} = 0$  otherwise

Also let  $m_j = \sum_{k=1}^n L_{kj}$ , the total number of webpages that j links to

First we define something that's almost PageRank, but not quite, because it's broken. The BrokenRank  $p_i$  of webpage i is

$$
p_i = \sum_{j \to i} \frac{p_j}{m_j} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{L_{ij}}{m_j} p_j
$$

<http://www.stat.cmu.edu/~ryantibs/datamining/lectures/03-pr.pdf>

Written in matrix notation.

$$
p = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \\ \vdots \\ p_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad L = \begin{pmatrix} L_{11} & L_{12} & \dots & L_{1n} \\ L_{21} & L_{22} & \dots & L_{2n} \\ \vdots & & & \\ L_{n1} & L_{n2} & \dots & L_{nn} \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
M = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & m_n \end{pmatrix},
$$

Dimensions: p is  $n \times 1$ , L and M are  $n \times n$ 

Now re-express definition on the previous page: the BrokenRank vector p is defined as  $p = LM^{-1}p$ 

Does that remind us of anything? Yep, stationary distribution!

$$
P(\text{go from } i \text{ to } j) = \begin{cases} 1/m_i & \text{if } i \to j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

Cool!

- 1. set the probabilities as above,
- 2. compute the stationary distribution,
- 3. use it as a quality/value measure,
- 4. ??????
- 5. PROFIT

#### **Or not?**



PageRank is given by a small modification of BrokenRank:

$$
p_i = \frac{1-d}{n} + d \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{L_{ij}}{m_j} p_j,
$$

where  $0 < d < 1$  is a constant (apparently Google uses  $d = 0.85$ )

In matrix notation, this is

$$
p = \left(\frac{1-d}{n}E + dLM^{-1}\right)p,
$$



Which means that once in a while, e.g. 15 times out of 100, we allow our surfer to jump to a completely random page

P(go from *i* to *j*) = 
$$
\begin{cases} (1 - d)/n + d/m_i & \text{if } i \to j \\ (1 - d)/n & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

Actually Google owe their success to a completely different algorithm <https://archive.google.com/pigeonrank/>



# Application example №3: PageRank (TextRank)

General idea:

- text as a graph
- textual entity (word/sentence/...) having MAX PageRank is the most important one

E.g. keywords:

- 1) tokenize text,
- 2) filter out words by part-of-speech,
- 3) a graph: if the number of words between a pair of words is greater than N, draw an edge between them
- 4) compute PageRank,
- 5) merge the close nodes with high PageRank into one ("Matlab" -> "code" => "Matlab\_code").



#### **Keywords assigned by TextRank:**

linear constraints; linear diophantine equations; natural numbers; nonstrict inequations; strict inequations; upper bounds

#### Keywords assigned by human annotators:

linear constraints; linear diophantine equations; minimal generating sets; nonstrict inequations: set of natural numbers; strict inequations; upper bounds

### Please note

**Graph-based NLP** is also a way to look at text mining tasks, there is a 2011 book on that:

- graph theory
- probability theory
- linear algebra
- social networks analysis methods
- natural language processing, finally



# Other applications

- Language detection
- Named-entity recognition
- POS-tagging
- Speech recognition
- …useful almost every time we deal with sequences

# Plan for today: theory and applications

#### **Markov chains**

a. Language models

b. Keywords extraction and other applications

#### 2. Elements of information theory

- a. Information
	- i. Collocations extraction
	- ii. One weird trick to estimate sentiment
- b. Entropy
	- i. Connection between entropy and perplexity

# Information theory elements: entropy and  $C^{\circ}$

1948 - A Mathematical Theory of Communication, Claude Shannon; information theory foundations are introduced

1949 - published as a book with Warren Weaver's commentary

Information entropy and bit are introduced

Found applications in compression algorithms, cryptography, signal processing, etc.



by Claude E. Shannon and Warren Weaver

#### Self-Information

• How much information the object represents; the less probable (or the more 'sudden') the event, the greater the information

$$
I(X) = -log_2 p(x)
$$

(log base may be different)

Example: it is known that the event occurred  $p(x)=1$ Then

$$
I(\mathbf{x})=0
$$

► Uniform distribution:  $p(x_i) = \frac{1}{N}$   $\forall x \in 1 : N$ 

$$
I(x_i)=-log_2N^{-1}=log_2N,
$$

length of the binary code of number of values!

#### Self-Information

If all words are equally frequent and occur independently, we can't 'compress' the text (we'll have to encode all words with numbers), otherwise

$$
p(x_0) = \frac{1}{3}, p(x_1) = \frac{1}{3}, p(x_2) = \frac{1}{3}
$$
  

$$
I_0 = \log_2 3, I_1 = \log_2 3, I_2 = \log_2 3
$$
  

$$
p(x_0) = \frac{2}{3}, p(x_1) = \frac{1}{6}, p(x_2) = \frac{1}{6}
$$
  

$$
I_0 = \log_2 3/2, I_1 = \log_2 6, I_2 = \log_2 6
$$

 $\triangleright$  Rare events are the most 'informative'

we can afford to encode them with long codes

 $=$ 

#### Self-Information

• **BTW**, if 
$$
p(x_0) = 0.5
$$
,  $p(x_1) = p_1$ , ...,  $p(x_n) = p_n$ 

$$
I(x_0)=-log_2 0.5=1 \text{ bit}
$$

(the name of the measure of information depends on the log base)

NB! We do not depend on other frequencies distribution!

## \* Mutual information

A measure of "common volume of information" shared by X and Y

$$
I(X;Y) = \sum_{y \in Y} \sum_{x \in X} p(x,y) \log \bigg( \frac{p(x,y)}{p(x) \, p(y)} \bigg),
$$

- When X and Y are independent, equals zero
- When there's functional dependency, turns into X-s entropy (or Y-s entropy)

Is used, e.g. for feature selection

# Pointwise mutual information

#### **PMI**

$$
\operatorname{pmi}(x;y) \equiv \log \frac{p(x,y)}{p(x)p(y)} = \log \frac{p(x|y)}{p(x)} = \log \frac{p(y|x)}{p(y)}.
$$

Intuitively:

- PMI shows the volume of added information about word2, when we see the word1
- Can be applied to non-consecutive words in the text
- Gives large weight to rare phrases
- Reasonable to use as a measure of independence, or as a measure of non-randomness of co-occurence (we'll use this)

## Pointwise mutual information: example №1

Collocations extraction: *if words co-occur a little less frequently than they occur on their own, they are collocations*; probabilities estimated as frequencies

Wikipedia, Oct. 2015





# Pointwise mutual information: example №2

Not a SOTA (lol, 2002 paper), but a smart idea of using web search engines for sentiment analysis:

1. Using POS-aware patterns, extract certain word collocations



A search operator available in AltaVista

- 2. Query AltaVista: altavista "poor", "<extr. phrase> NEAR poor", "excellent", "<extr. phrase> NEAR excellent"
- 3. Compute and average Semantic Orientation for all phrases; if SO > 0 then **positive**

 $SO(phrase) = PMI(phrase, "excellent")$ - PMI(phrase, "poor")



Peter D. Turney. 2002. Thumbs up or thumbs down?: semantic orientation applied to unsupervised classification of reviews. In Proceedings of the 40th  $26$ Annual Meeting on Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL '02). Association for Computational Linguistics, Stroudsburg, PA, USA, 417-424.

# Plan for today: theory and applications

#### 1. Markov chains

a. Language models

b. Keywords extraction and other applications

#### 2. Elements of information theory

- a. Information
	- i. Collocations extraction
	- ii. One weird trick to estimate sentiment
- b. Entropy

## Literature, recommendations

- 1. Martin-Jurafsky 3 ed., Chapter 4
- 2. NLP course @ CSC 2014
- 3. **PageRank (better explanations and material is more complete)** Anand Rajaraman and Jeffrey David Ullman. 2011. Mining of Massive Datasets
- 4. Ryan Tibshirani, [Data Mining lectures slides](http://www.stat.cmu.edu/~ryantibs/datamining/lectures/03-pr.pdf)
- 5. Wikipedia + relevant materials links on it
- 6. Романовский И. В. Дискретный анализ: Учебное пособие для студентов, специализирующихся по прикладной математике и информатике

# Information entropy



https://twitter.com/dmimno/status/968856022164148224

#### Information entropy

$$
H(X)=-\sum_{x\in X}p(x)log_2p(x),
$$

 $X$  — «predicted values» Possible interpretations:

- ► self-information expected value (as a measure of «meaningfulness»),
- a measure of «unpredictability» of the system  $E_{p_X}I(X)$ ,
- $\blacktriangleright$  and

#### Information entropy

- Entropy  $-$  is the only function (up to a constant factor) that has the following properties:
	- 1. continuity
	- 2. symmetry
		- (the reordering of probabilities changes nothing)
	- 3. maximal for uniform distribution
	- 4. given that the distribution is uniform, outcomes number increase implies entropy increase

$$
H_N(\frac{1}{N},...,\frac{1}{N}) < H_{N+1}(\frac{1}{N+1},...,\frac{1}{N+1})
$$

5. grouping outcomes leads to losing information the following way:

$$
H_N(\frac{1}{N}, ..., \frac{1}{N}) = H_k(\frac{b_1}{N}, ..., \frac{b_k}{N}) + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{b_i}{N} H(\frac{1}{b_i}, ..., \frac{1}{b_i}),
$$
  

$$
b_1 + ... + b_k = N
$$

► Proved by C. Shannon.

#### **Cross entropy**

Cross entropy — average number of bits necessary for recognition of the event if the coding scheme is based on the given probability distribution q instead of the 'true' p.«Wikipedia»

$$
H(p,q)=-\sum_{i=1}^n p(x_i)log_2 q(x_i)
$$

We use the 'true' distribution for weighting the estimates information.

#### Cross entropy and her friends

$$
H(p,q) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i)log_2 q(x_i) + H(p) - H(p) =
$$

$$
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i) (log_2 p(x_i) - log_2 q(x_i)) + H(p) = D_{KL}(p||q) + H(p)
$$

 $\triangleright$   $D_{\kappa_1}$  — Kullback-Leibler divergence

#### **> VERY IMPORTANT**

 $H(p) \leq H(p,q) \; \forall p,q$ 

which is why cross entropy is useful: the more precise is the estimate  $q$ , the smaller the difference + cross entropy will never overestimate the 'true' entropy

### BTW\*

An interesting point of view on mutual information

$$
I(X;Y) = \sum_{y \in Y} \sum_{x \in X} p(x,y) \log \left( \frac{p(x,y)}{p(x)\,p(y)} \right),
$$

# Markov models, information theory and why we care about it all

Anton Alekseev, Steklov Mathematical Institute in St Petersburg NRU ITMO,

NRU ITMO, St Petersburg, 2019 anton.m.alexeyev+itmo@gmail.com